

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/044,009 | 01/11/2002 | Susan A. Alie | Analog 5911 | 8144 | |
| 75 | 7590 12/06/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens LLP | | | LE, THAO X | | |
| Suite 3300 225 Franklin St | reet | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Boston, MA (| Boston, MA 02110 | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 10/044,009 | ALIE ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Thao X Le | 2814 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | ne correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND | be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under E | s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, | · |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,30,32,33 and 35-39</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,30,32,33 and 35-39</u> is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | T' |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. | cepted or b) objected to by to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli pity documents have been rec nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | cation No eived in this National Stage |
| • | | • |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) | |

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of the rejection and the indicated allowability of claims 1-3, 5-8, 30, 32-39 of the last Office action dated 09/30/04 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Morris and Calcatera. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 30, 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5021840 to Morris in view of US 6570750 to Calcatera et al.

Regarding claim 1, Morris discloses a metallization stack in an integrated

Art Unit: 2814

MEMS device, the metallization stack in fig. 5 comprising: a substrate 14 having an electrically conductive structure, a field oxide 18, column 3 line 28, having a contact hole 21, column 3 line 32, therein, formed over said substrate 14; a silicide layer 25, column 4 line 64, formed in said contact hole 21 of said field oxide 18; a titanium-tungsten layer 26, column 4 line 18, formed directly on said silicide layer 25, to operatively contact said electrically conductive structure in said substrate; and a metal layer 28/50, column 4 line 39; said metal layer 28 having a first portion formed directly on said titanium-tungsten layer 26; said metal layer 28 having a second platinum portion 50, column 7 line 5, formed directly on said field oxide 18; said silicide layer 25, said titanium-tungsten layer 26, and said platinum layer 50, together forming an electrical connection to said electrically conductive structure.

But Morris does not disclose the metal layer 28 comprising platinum.

However, Calcatera et al discloses the MEMS device in fig. 1 having conductor 100, 103 and 105 comprising aluminum, copper or platinum, column 6 lines 5-11. At the time of the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the conductor teaching Calcatera to replace the aluminum layer 28 of Morris with platinum because such material substitution would have been considered a mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent values, MPEP 2144.06

Regarding claims 2, 33, Morris discloses the metallization stack of claim 1, wherein said electrically conductive structure is an active silicon element 16, fig. 5.

Regarding claim 3, Morris discloses the metallization stack of claim 2, wherein said contact hole 21 exposes a portion of a surface of said substrate 14 at a bottom of said contact

hole and said silicide layer 25 is formed only on the exposed portion of the surface of said substrate 14.

Regarding claims 5-8, 35-38, Morris does not discloses the metallization stack of claim 1, wherein the integrated MEMS device is an optical MEMS, wherein the integrated MEMS device is a BiO-MEMS device, wherein said platinum layer forms a corrosive resistant electrode, wherein said electrically conductive structure is an interconnect of the BiO-MEMS device. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Morris as claim for intended used, MPEP 2144.07.

With respect to platinum layer forms a corrosive resistant electrode. The platinum layer 50 of Morris would have the same function. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Regarding claims 30, 39, Morris discloses the metallization stack of claim 1, wherein said silicide layer is a platinum silicide layer, column 3 line 49.

Regarding claim 32, Morris discloses a metallization stack in an integrated MEMS device, the metallization stack in fig. 5 comprising: a substrate 14 having an electrically conductive structure; a field oxide 18 formed over said substrate 14; a silicide layer 25 formed on said field oxide 18, a titanium-tungsten layer 26, formed directly on said silicide layer 25, to operatively contact said electrically conductive structure in said substrate; and a metal layer 28/50; said metal layer 28/50 having a first portion 28 formed directly on said titanium-tungsten layer 26; said metal layer 28/50 having a second portion 50 formed directly on said field oxide 18.

But Morris does not disclose the metal layer 28 comprising platinum.

Art Unit: 2814

However, Calcatera et al discloses the MEMS device in fig. 1 having conductor 100, 103 and 105 comprising aluminum, copper or platinum, column 6 lines 5-11. At the time of the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the conductor teaching Calcatera to replace the aluminum layer 28 of Morris with platinum because such material substitution would have been considered a mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent values, MPEP 2144.06.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272 -1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao X. Le

HOAI PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

lloay lam

Application/Control Number: 10/044,009

Art Unit: 2814

29 Nov. 2004

Page 6